(*b*) Fifty acres of gomal land should be set apart in places where dry lands are scarce and where wet cultivation is carried on.

(*c*) No portion of the gomal land set apart for grazing purposes should be given out for cultivation.

(*d*) In villages where no gomal land exists portions of assessed lands may be set apart as gomal.

Reply of Government.—No gomal land is granted for cultivation except with the sanction of the Revenue Commissioner. Every endeavour is made to provide a sufficiency of gomal land and if there is any village in which there is deficiency, the case may be brought to the notice of the local authorities.

SUBJECT No. 153.

Destruction of wild pigs.

Mr. C. Narasimiah, Mysore District Board.

Summary of discussion.—It is prayed that the agricultural branch of the Economic Conference be asked to find out a speedy remedy for the destruction of wild pigs and protection of crops.

Raiyats are unable to shoot the pigs, as they do not know how to use guns. Their ravages are very great in the Heggaddevankote, Hunsur and Chamrajnagar taluks. Even in places where there are no large forests, they take refuge in scrub jungle and do much damage to crops.

Mr. Amble Anniah Pandit.—Government should give rewards for shooting or killing pigs. Otherwise pigs will become a serious pest to cultivation in future.

Reply of Government.—In the Central Provinces, one Agricultural Association has raised a fund for giving rewards for the destruction of pigs and enquiries will be made as to what is done to meet the evil elsewhere.

SUBJECT No. 154.

Signature of the raiyats to crop estimates.

Mr. Ramanuja Iyengar, Gubbi taluk.

Withdrawn.

SUBJECT No. 155.

Counterfoil receipt books for noting the payments of land revenue.

1. Messrs. Ummanniah, Malle Gowda, Bowringpet taluk; Malla Setti, Arkalgud taluk; B. Kesaviah, Chiknagalur taluk, Siddegowda, Mysore taluk; Boregowda Yedatore taluk; and Ramachendrappa Dube, Kumsi sub-taluk.
2. Mr. C. Vaman Rao, Nagar taluk.
3. Messrs. K. Subbanna, Bangalore District Board, N. Rangappa, Holalkere taluk, and Dodda Rudrappa, Molakalmuru taluk.

Summary of discussion.—(1) For receipt of kandayam from raiyats, the village officials are required to issue receipts on patta forms. But instances are not wanting in which receipts are being granted on slips of paper without showing any particulars. It is not possible that all the pattas or receipts issued to raiyats can be checked by Taluk or District Officers. With a view to afford full scope for scrutinising recovery of kandayam and the fact of issue of receipts for payments made, it is prayed that Raiyats' Patta Forms be ordered to be printed in counterfoil so that the receipts are granted only after noting the particulars in the duplicate. The counterfoils of the receipt books

should be ordered to be produced with the *khirdi* at the treasury at the time of *irsal*, so as to facilitate proper checking of the amount with the accounts.

(2) The patta form will not always be available for noting payments of land revenue which is collected sometimes by village officials and sometimes by shekdars. It will therefore be convenient if the patta is made only to note the extent of lands in the holding of each *khatedar* and the assessment due by him, and separate receipts granted whenever the assessment is paid, the counterfoils of the receipts being sent with the *khirdis* for being checked in the taluk office.

(3) Under the standing orders all the kandayam collected has to be entered in the patta only. Great difficulty is felt in collecting the kandayam due by the *hissedars* as the *pattadar* cannot be expected to be present whenever the *hissedars* pay the amount. Further, the *pattadar* cannot be pressed to grant receipts to his *hissedars*. The granting of *phoot* receipts has been condemned as the collections cannot be checked properly. In these circumstances, to avoid the above difficulties receipt books containing counterfoils may be introduced.

Reply of Government.—The matter is under correspondence.

SUBJECT No. 156.

Forms of transfer of *pattas*.

Mr. Shamayya, Bangalore District Board.

Summary of discussion.—Prays for the revival of the old forms of transfer of *pattas* as the new forms do not contain the survey number, extent, amount, etc.

Reply of Government.—This has been sanctioned by Government.

SUBJECT No. 157.

Village Account Form No. 3.

Mr. Lingegowda, Mysore taluk.

Summary of discussion.—It is prayed that a fresh head be opened in the Village Account Form No. 3 to show the names of the *anubhavadars* of each survey number.

Mr. Ramaswamiengar of Hassan.—These entries have nothing to do with the object with which the *Pahani* accounts are kept. It will, moreover, enable shanbhogs to get up improper entries of title which will be relied on as evidence in suits in civil courts.

Reply of Government.—Cannot be done as it is objectionable.

SUBJECT No. 158.

Office list showing the number of *bandhs* and stones in each survey number.

Mr. Ramaswamiengar, Hassan taluk.

Summary of discussion.—None of the existing survey accounts shows the number of *bandhs* and stones fixed in each survey number. Consequently, it is not possible to ascertain the condition of the boundary marks, many of which may remain unrepaired. The village officials have no account with them to state the correct number of such *bandhs* or stones, and therefore, when questioned by the